(To be preserved)

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

SoA 64-10-(14, 54, 64-12)
SoA 384-13-(12
SoA 42-78-(1-10)
SoA 54-19-1-2-4687

SoA File No. 189-24

1921.

Serial Nos 2, 3, 7 to 9

(SoA)

Later references.

DoA 54-22-N1-3

SoA 54-22-R4 85

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 4

Part B for November 1921

SUBJECT.

Prayer of the P. V. Divakar Naik of the Raidurg-Pallegar family for Confirmance in his name Pallegar Kensam of Rs. 19-15-9 drawn by late Venkata Krishnamma who died without heirs, and the applicant is the only nearest heir being her cousin.

To the Comptroller } no SL 2555 & 60 dt. 21
Copy of Divakar Naik }

Govt decline to grant his Request.

1/12/21
13/12/21

G. R. S. III—1] 1920.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(SM.)

NOTES.

File No. 189/20

FILE HEADING.

Raidrug Pallegar Family Pensions

From

Mr. P. N. Sivakara Naik, Honnali

No. Petn Dated 6.4.21 Received 13.4.21

Diary No. 3947 Enclos. 1 Spare Copies. Serial No. 2

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Prays that the Pallegar Pension of Rs 19-15-9 drawn by late Venkatakrishnamma be continued in his name — as he is the nearest cousin to the deceased pensioner

NOTES AND ORDERS.

On the death of Kaveti Naik in 1882 (childless) his pension of Rs 13-5-3 + 50% general increase = Rs 19-15-9 was ordered to be divided in equal shares to his two wives & his step mother, with the direction that on the death of each — The surviving members

NOTES—First Sheet.

(2

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | SW File No. 189 of 19 20

B

Serial No.

members shall divide equally and the last survivor drawing the full amount which on her death must lapse to Govt. (vide G.O. No 413-4/17 dt 19.4.1884).

2) As per above G.O. the Khazana Bakshi has rejected the petitioners claim to the vacant pension.

3) The petitioner - Divakara Naik now appeals against the above order & forwards certain copies of G.O.'s in support of his claim.

Circulated to P.U.D.

4) The petitioner states that his grand-father Divakara Naik & Koneti Naik's (husband of the deceased Venkatakrishnamma) grandfather - Chik Koneti Naik were the uterine brothers and living as undivided members of the family till 1852 & the pension was split up & registered separately at the request of the petitioners grandfather Divakara Naik & his nephew - Ranjinda Naik & prays that as his family has suffered already by resection of the family pensions owing to the folly of his ancestors - the vacant pension drawn by his sister in law - Venkatakrishnamma be continued to him.

vide Genealogical Tree (Page 3)

5) After the liberal policy of Govt.

(Over)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GENEOLOGICAL TREE OF ROYADURG

PALLEGAR FAMILY.

---

(1) Thimmappah Naik.

(2) Koneti Naik. | Venkatapathy Naik. | Muddukrishnappa Naik (died in 1812) | Rajagopal Naik.

(3) Ramappa Naik.(died in 1820)
(Pension in 1812.67-12-9
(Reduced in 1815. 45- 3-2
Balance. 22- 9-7

Koneti Naik(died in 1861)
Pension in 1814 67-12-9
Increment in 1860 33-14-4
Total., 101-11-1

(4) Chikka Koneti Naik:
(died in 1836
(Pension in 1820 22-9-7
(Reduced in 1835 2-9-7
Balance 20-0-0

4(a) Divakara Naik.
(died in 1864)
pension in 1862.. 6-10-8
Increment in
1860. 3- 5-4
1864. 2- 8-9
12- 8-9

Muddukrishnappa Naik.
(died)
Pension in 1861. 55- 0-0
Increment in
1864. 13-12-0
68-12-0

Nanjunda Naik.Died
Pension in 1861. 46-11-1
Increment in
1864. 11-10-9
58- 5-10.

(5) Nanjunda Naik.(died)
Pension ... Rs 19-15-9
Wife
Venkatalakshmamma.
Died on 2-7-1911
Pension ... 6-10-7

(5a) Ramappa Naik. (died on 31-5-1906)
Pension .. 18-12-0

Mudduswamy Naik.
(Pension 103-2-0)

Koneti Naik.
(Pension 87-8-9)

(6) Koneti Naik - (14-18-9)
Died issueless
about 30 years
back. Died
1st wife
6-A Akkalamma
Pension 6-10-7
B 2nd wife
Venkatakrishnamma
Pension 6-10-7 = Rs 19-15-9
Vacant Pension

Ramaswamy Naik.
(died issuelessin 1918)

(died 17.x.18)

7(a) Divakara Naik.
Pension 18-12-0
Petitioner

7(a) Koneti Naik.

3947

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

SJA File No. 189 of 1920

Serial No.

F

in G.O. dt 29.X.1887 these Pallegar Pensions were treated with generous consideration i.e life pensions held by females were continued, adoptions were recognized & pensions were granted for the maintenance of the surviving members of the family

b) Reference is invited to the minutes of the members of Council, dated & H.H. orders thereon in SJA 64-10-12.

T

Sanctioning the continuance of the pension drawn by Chowdamma of Anepudi family who died issueless, to the surviving members of the family

also to G.O. dt 10.8.14 Sanctioning Hanumamma nagathi's pension to another member of the Darikere family.

7

SJA 384-13-2

c) Recently in the case of Bheskaramma of Manjarabad family who died issueless, Govt. have continued the same to the nearest heirs on the above precedents - (SJA 59-19-7)

S

d) Submitted for orders

The delay in the submission of this case is regretted - Area marked B half a day.

NOTE - Contd. sheet.

30/5

Ulw 26.5.21

e) The petition has thereforeno claim in favour of adoption in the case in the first instance. He recommends that it

3547

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | S & A File No. 189 of 1920-21

Serial No.	
	10) May this be done?
	Yes sir) 18 30/07/21
3594 31/5	11) yes and the Chief Secy may be Communicated on receipt of Comptr's report.
	Sd. C.O.G.M. V.E. 31/07/21
	12) Draft Letter for approval
	Well 1570 13) Issue at once 18 18/8
	17/8
Fwd	Issued
3	To Comptroller, M. 6967 of 23.6.21. Forwards the petition for report.
7	From Comptr, No 141 of 4.8.21 Forwards the Statement made by Divakara Naik before the Huzur Treasury officer & States that if in consideration of the precedents quoted by him, the Govt. be pleased to reconsider their orders of 1884, the Vacant pension of Venkatalakshmamma may be Transferred to Divakara Naik from the date of her death.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | SHA, File No. 189 of 1920

Serial No.

14) Submitted for orders with reference to para: 11.

15) Reference is also invited to previous noting in folios: 1 to 4.

16) Please see para 8 of Go. 22nd June 1895 'Reconsideration of the lapsed pensions of Siddamma & Sowramma is requested. Put up these references.

17) Are there any precedents taken vide a pension, ordered to lapse on the death of the last-holder since the rendition, has been continued -

Vide 1st. sentence of para 6 of D.O.N. 28th May 1911.

SHA 64 of 1910.

Early please. 8/9/4.

Basamma - Vide para 4 of Go. - N.

18) Chitaloog File No 11 containing the Go. of 22. 6. 95 are put up. +3 & 72. & 80

19) After the rendition, in the following cases the pensions drawn by lady members - which was ordered by the Chief Commrs to lapse after their death, has been revised & additions also sanctd :-

NOTE---Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | S. 84 File No. 184 of 1920

Serial No.

P + X

1) In the case of Akkajammanne of Axekal family, adoption was also recognised - which was accordingly by Chief Commissioner -

V

2) Sathyamma's pension of Rs 27 was continued to Lingal Naik of Chitaldrug dom

X + (para 1 + 4 of the order re - declaration of the order of Lapses.

3) Ganpammas pension of Rs 84. 11. 0 was ordered to be continued in favour of her adopted son - Venkatadri Naik of the Nanjarabad family.

F

Submitted for orders with a statement of old Pollygar Pensioners of Wids 29/9 1820 -

30

1) The facts of the case are as stated by Mr. Siva Kara Naick in his petition of 6.4.1921. Paras 1-6.

2) But the precedents quoted in his memorandum dt 7 - in support of his contention that the Endorsement of the S.H. Officer dt 11.9.19 is not correct - do not however help him.

Endorsement in P + F

3) The papers relating to the 7 previous instances quoted by him are in the file, and it will be seen by a perusal of them, that they are all cases in which pensions enjoyed by the last final holder have been continued to heirs, not by setting aside the previous orders

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | SA File No. 189 of 1920

Serial No.

X

T

F

H

W

which expressly declared that the pensions shd lapse after the last female holder; but in further of the general policy of govt of continuing the pallyan pensions in accordance with the Hindu Law of inheritance - Vide G.O. of 29th Oct 1887. This view of the case is clearly laid down in connection with the disposal of succession to the pension of Chowdamma of the Anepundi family - Vide D.S.W. of 28th May 1911 (Flag T.)

4). The case which is exactly parallel to the present one is that of the Pension of 21-2-9 drawn by Kastoori Rangappa Naick of the Chitaldroog family - No. 11 in the printed Statement. (Flag F). On his death without male issue, the pension was divided in equal shares between 3 female members, with the direction that it be continued till the last survivor on whose death, the entire pension should lapse by govt -. The shares of 2 members lapsed by govt - (Flag H.) -. Reconsideration of the said lapsed shares was considered inexpedient - (Flag W - para - 8) -

5). The remaining 1/3 share, which also shd strictly have lapsed after the death of the recipient - Basamma, was however continued to the descendants of her husband - in para 4 of Govt

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

548

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | S.R. File No. 189 of 1920.

Serial No.	
IV.	22nd June 1895. Flag. W:—
Flag B.	6). In the present case the Go of 19-4-1884 is clear and in accordance with it, the pension shd lapse byml:—
(i)	7). It is however for consideration if in accordance with the liberal policy enunciated in the Go of 29th X Oct 1887, and in view of the precedent referred to in para 5). supra, the pension of 19-15-9 drawn by Vaitheli Kuyilamma shd be continued to Mr. Divakara Naick.
X.	8). It may be pointed out in this connection that the applicant is already in receipt of a pallyan pension of 18-12-0. and ~~declare pension ordered to be lapsed is hereby continued~~ his claim is for the continuance whole of the other half share of his branch of the family, which has been vacant since 1918
	9). The chief Secy has the consulted.
958 3/10. Flag)	I think it is undesirable ~~to~~ 18 7-10-1924. to revive lapsed pensions. May be referred to the Chief Secy. Sd/- 16.10.24
Ch Secy)	
C 198-21 Jr B.	In Venkoba Narasimhan's case ordering 19-4-1884 it was laid down that the pension would lapse byond after the death of the three female claimants mentioned

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

S/A File No. 189 of 1920

Serial No.

1 therein. This case is said to have been in accordance with the rulings laid down by Mr. Bowring (Vide Khazan Bakshi's letter No 2 g, 2 Dec 1882). But these rulings have subsequently been departed from and there are several cases of pensions held by widows being given, on their death, to the collateral heirs of their deceased husbands. In the present case the petr is not a very distant relative of the last male holder. His grandfather & the last male holder's father were first-cousins. These are political pensions granted in lieu of land resumed by Govt, as services rendered to the State, and should be continued as long as there are direct descendants in the direct male line. In this view I am of opinion that the petr's request is admissible.

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on Oct 29 1921
Reg. No. 2465.

Asst Secy
Dewan)

Dr
21/10/21

Dr
22-10-21

I am sorry I cannot agree. It is not expedient to revive such pensions.

Dr
28-10-21

Dr 1-11-21

Dr
Dr

Dr
17.11

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | S/A File No. 189 of 1920.

Serial No.	
	Draft Submitted for approval.
	This Issued 8/11/21
	8/11
	The 7/11
9	To Comptroller, N 2585 & 10.XI.21 informs that it is not expedient to revive the lapsed pension.

B

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN
OF MYSORE.
Date of Receipt 11.4.21
Petn. Regr. No. 18-4

MAR No. 334/1

1920-1921

S&A

189-20

To

Rajasevadhureena Sirdar M. Kantharaja Urs. C.S.I.,
The Dewan to His Highness The Maharaja of Mysore, 2
Bangalore.

Most Respectfully Sheweth,

The humble petitioner is a member of the Rayadrug Palyagar Family under the kind protection of H.H. The Maharaja of Mysore as shown in the Geneological Tree submitted herewith for your Excellency's kind perusal, and has been drawing the stipend of Rs. 18/12/- per month from the Benign Government of Mysore.

2) The petitioners' cousin brother Koneti Nayak died issueless about 30 years back, his stipend of Rs. 19/15/9 was being enjoyed by his step-mother-Venkatalakshmama and his two widows Akkalamma and Venkatakrishnamma.

3) The step mother Venktalakshmamma and the first wife Akkalamma of Koneti Naik having also died a few years back the whole of the pension was being enjoyed by Venkatakrishnamma the second wife of Koneti Nayak.

4) The humble petitioner now begs to submit that the last surviving widow Venktakrishnamma having now been reported to have died also on 17th October 1918, and that the humble petititoner only being the nearest cousin brother of the late Koneti Nayak the husband of the said Venkatakrishnama the pension which was being enjoyed by the deceased Venkatakrishnamma, your Excellency may be graciously pleased to kindly order for being transferred to the humble petitioner who is the nearest blood relative.

5) The humble petitioners grand father Divakara Nayak and Koneti Nayak's (Husband of the deceased Venkatakrishnamma grand father Chikka Koneti Nayak were the uterine brothers and were living as undivided members of the family and the

elder one Chikka Koneti Nayak was drawing the whole pension as the Barawardar. Even after the death of Chikka Koneti Nayak in 1836 when the Baraward was transferred to his son Nunjunda Nayak, the father-in-law of Venkatakrishnamma, the petitioners' grand father Divakara Nayak continued to live with Nunjunda Nayak as undivided member of the family till 1852, when the petitioners grand father Divakara Nayak having desired to live seperately the Baraward was split up and the pension was divided between the petioner's grand father Divakara Nayak and his nephew Nunjunda Nayak

b) The humble petitioner therefore, respectfully begs permission to submit to your Excellency's benign consideration that the petitioner's family has already suffered a great loss owing to the folly of the petitioner's great-grand father Chikka Koneti Nayak in 1836 and his father Ramappa Nayak in 1815, both of these having left Mysore Province and gone to their native place Rayadrug without obtaining permission of the Mysore Government as per orders then in force. In 1815 the pension of Rs.67/12/9 which was being drawn by Ramappa Nayak the petititoner's great grand father was reduced to Rs.22/9/7 and the remainder viz Rs.45/3/2 was forfeited to the Government and in 1835 when Chikka Koneti Nayak son of Ramappa Nayak was the Barawardar a sum of Rs.2/9/7 was deducted from the pension of Rs.22/9/7 and was forfeited to the Government. Consequently the petitioner's family (including the pension of Rs.18/12/- now being drawn by the petitioner and the pension of Rs.19/15/9 which was still now being drawn by the deceased Venkatakrishnamma) has been getting a stipend of Rs.38/- and odd, whereas the other members of the same Rayadrug family Viz. Muddusawmy Nayak (Rs.103/2/-) and Koneti Nayak (Rs.87/8/9) have been enjoying the handsome pension amounting in all Rs.190/10/9 though the pensions were equally divided in the year 1812 between the two --

families at Rs.67/12/9 each.

7) The humble petitioner therefore most humbly and respectfully prays your Excellency to be so kind as to take the pitiable state of the petitioner's family into your Excellency's benign consideration and sanction for the transfer of the pension of the deceased Venkatakrishnamma who has been drawing the other half of the pension to the petitioner, and thus protect the humble petitioner and his large family.

8) For which act of benevolence the petitioner and his family shall ever pray for your Excellency's long life and prosperity.

The humble petitioner begs to remain,

Your Excellency's most humble and Loyal Subject.

Pundarakara Rayadrug Pallegar

(Police Inspector, Honnalli Taluk Shimoga District)

6.4.21

Geneolog

(1) Thimma

(2) Koneti Naik. Venkatapathy

(3) Ramappa Naik.
(Died in 1820) { Pension in 1812 = 67-12-9 / Reduced in 1815 = 45-3-2 }
Balance = 22-9-7

(4) Chikka Koneti Naik. (4a) Divakara Naik.
(Died in 1836) { Pension in 1820 = 22-9-7 / Reduced in 1835 = 2-9-7 / Balance: 20-0-0 }
(Died in 1864) { Pension in 1862 = 6-10-8 / Increment in 1860 = 3-5-4 / —"— 1864 = 2-9-9 / Total: 12-8-9 }

(5) Nanjunda Naik. (5a) Ramappa Naik
Died: Pension 19-15-9
Wife Venkata Lakshmamma. (Died on 31-5-1906) Pension 18-12-0.
died on 2-7-1911. Pension 6
1st Wife. 2nd Wife
Nanjammadamma. Upendramma.

(6) Koneti Naik
Died issueless about 30 years back.
Died { 1st wife = AKKalamma Pension 6-10-7 / 2nd wife = Venkatakrishnamma Pension 6-10-7 }
Total 19-15-9

(6a) Divakara Naik. (6b) Koneti Na
Petitioner. (Pension 18-12-0)
Wife: Nanjammanni. 1st Wife: Venkatalak
2nd Wife: Ammachan

Ramappa Naik
Died in

Upendramma. Bagamma. Honnamma. Nagabhusan Naik.
Born (1915)

Submitted
P. Divakar Reddy
Rayadrug Pallegar
(Police Inspector Hosmatti T/)
6/4/1920.


ical Tree.
ppah Naik.
Naik.
Mudduktrishnappa Naik. (Died in 1812)
Rajagopal Naik.
Koneti Naik.
(Died in 1861) {Pension in 1814 = 67-12-9 Increment in 1860 = 33-14-4. Total = 101-11-1}
uddukrishnappa Naik.
(Died) Pension in 1861 = 55-0-0 Increment in 1864 = 13-12-0 68-12-0
Nanjunda Naik.
(Died) Pension in 1864 = 46-11-1 Increment in 1864 = 11-10-9 58-5-10
Venddeswamy Naik.
(Pension 103-2-0)
Koneti Naik.
(Pension 87-8-9)
Ramaswamy Naik.
Died issueless in 1918)
ik.
Rajagopal Naik.
Venkatapathy Naik.
hamma died}
na.
1st Wife.
1910.
enkatasubba Naik.
Born (1917)
Nagarathnamma.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 3947

Section SPM
File No. 189/20
Serial No. 3

General and Revenue Secretariat
(SPM)
, the *191* .

No. JE 6967
dt 23rd June 21

To

The Comptroller Dolgat

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith for favour of enquiry & report - the petition of Mr. Ry P. R. Divakara Naik & Raidru Pallegar Family praying for the transfer to his name of the pension drawn by late Venkatakrishnamma

over. Thanks &

Date of issue 23/6/21

Signed by

18/6/21

for Secy

18/6

5324

Please address all future communications to the Comptroller to the Govt. of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.
In reply to this Comn. please refer to
No....S.H.Try. 141 Encls one file

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore, the 6 August 1921

DIARY No. 548

1920 - 21
Section. S&A
File No. 189-20
Serial No. 7

FROM

L. Bishtopanth Badami, Esq., B.A.,
~~M. N. KRISHNA RAO, Esq., B.A.,~~
Comptroller to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

M. N. Krishna Rao, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Financial *Department.*

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.Fl.6967/S&A 189-20-3 dated 23rd June 1921, forwarding for enquiry and report the petition of M.R.Ry. P. R. Divakara Naik of the Rayadrug Pollegar Family praying for the transfer to his name of the pension of Rs.19-15-9 drawn by late Venkatakrishnamma, I have the honor to report that M.R.Ry.Divakara Naik was already informed (in reply to his petition addressed to the State Huzur Treasury Officer dated 13th January 1919 on the subject) in this office No.546 dated 11th September 1919 that the pension of Rs.19-15-9 enjoyed by late Venkatakrishnamma lapsed to Government as per Government Order No.413-14/17 dated 19th April 1884.

2. M.R.Ry. Divakara Naik has now petitioned the Government to order the transfer of the pension to him he being the nearest relative of late Venkatakrishnamma. His statement taken by the Officer in charge of the State Huzur Treasury is herewith submitted. If, in consideration of the precedents quoted by M.R.Ry.Divakara Naik, the Government be pleased to reconsider their orders passed in April 1884, the said pension of Venkatakrishnamma may be transferred to Divakara Naik from 18th October 1918 the day after her death.

3.

3. The enclosures received with your letter No.Fl.6967/S&A 189-20-3 dated 23rd June 1921 are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Offg: Comptroller.

5.8.21

MSR/5-8

Statement of Th. Divaker Naik, Pallegar
pensioner.

I belong to the Reydurg Pallegar family. Myself and the late Varadar Venkatakrishnamma's husband Koneti Naik were the nearest blood relatives to each other, being the descendants of the common ancestor, Ramappa Naik. Ramappa Naik had two children by names, Chicka Koneti Naik and Divaker Naik. Chicka Koneti Naik was the grand father on the male side of Koneti Naik, who was the husband of the deceased Venkatakrishnamma, for whose pension I am the claimant. Divaker Naik younger brother of Chicka Koneti Naik, is my own grandfather on the male side. When Chicka-Koneti Naik and my grandfather were alive, Chicka Koneti Naik as the Varawardar was drawing the whole pension. After my Divaker and

his death the pension was divided between my grand-father Devaker Naik and Chicka Koneti Naik's son, Nanjunda Naik. After the death of Nanjunda Naik his share of pension was transferred to his son Koneti Naik. After the death of Koneti Naik his share of pension was divided between his two wives, Akkalamma and Venkatakrishnamma and his step-mother Venkatalakshmamma. After the demise of Akkalamma and Venkatalakshmamma, the whole of Koneti Naik's share of pension, Rs 19-15-9 was being enjoyed by the surviving widow, Venkatakrishnamma. She, having died on 17-10-1918, I beg to submit that I as I am enjoying the other half of pension of Rs 18-12-0 now devolved

I, ~~am the~~ being the nearest blood relative of the deceased Venkala-Krishnamma am entitled to the transfer of the pension to me, as she has died issueless.

~~I have~~ As the geneological tree (A) which I submit shows I have got a younger brother by name Kenchi Naik. He is under my protection and we are undivided. The barawork stands in my name.

There are no nearer blood relatives nearer than myself and my brother to the deceased Venkala-Krishnamma.

I am a father of seven children and I have got a brother depending upon me. The small stipend of Rs 18-12-0 which I am now getting is quite insufficient to meet my family expenses.

I beg to submit also that ~~that~~ my family pension has been already twice reduced during

Pandemonium

the time of my great-father
Ramappa Naik and grandfather
Kereti Naik. I pray that my
pitiable case may be graciously
taken into favourable con-
sideration of Govt and the
pension enjoyed by my sister-in-
law Venkalakrishnamma may
be kindly ordered to be transfer-
red to me and thus protect
my large family

Read over and admitted
correct.

Ravindranath
Rajadeergallaya
7/7/21

14 Vivarayi Mrs
7/7/21

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

R e a d :-

Petition dated 6th April 1921 from Mr.P.R.Divakar Naik of the Rayadrug Pollegar family praying for the transfer to his name of the pension of Rs.19-15-9 drawn by Venkata-lakshmamma ~~Krishnamma~~ and lapsed to Government on her death in October 1918, in accordance with Government Order No.413-14/17 dated 19th April 1884.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. S.H.T. 141 dated 4th August 1921 from the Comptroller reporting on the petition of Mr. P.R.Divakara Naik.

O r d er No. H 2585 / S&A 189-20-9

Dated Bangalore the 10 November 1921.

Government consider that it is not expedient to revive the lapsed pension.

The petitioner's request cannot be granted.

For Financial Secretary to Government.

To

The Comptroller, Mysore Government.

Copy to Mr. Divakara Naik returning the enclosures.

MK.4.